F0. Introduction

(F0.1) Give a general description of and introduction to your organization.

Founded in 1920, Eastman is a global specialty materials company that produces a broad range of products found in items people use every day. With the purpose of enhancing the quality of life in a material way, Eastman works with customers to deliver innovative products and solutions while maintaining a commitment to safety and sustainability. The company's innovation-driven growth model takes advantage of world-class technology platforms, deep customer engagement, and differentiated application development to grow its leading positions in attractive end-markets such as transportation, building and construction, and consumables. As a globally inclusive and diverse company, Eastman employs approximately 14,000 people around the world and serves customers in more than 100 countries. The company had 2021 revenues of approximately $10.5 billion and is headquartered in Kingsport, Tennessee, USA.

At Eastman, in addition to making a material difference in society through the products we make, we continuously strive to protect the environment in the communities where we operate. As a manufacturer of chemicals since 1920 and a Responsible Care® company for more than 25 years, we have comprehensive guidelines and processes in place for reducing energy usage and minimizing our environmental footprint. Our environmental network of professionals is here to support Eastman's drive for success as an innovative specialty materials company. Through relentless market engagement and differentiated application insight, we leverage our world-class technology platforms and internal experts to solve challenges that are driven by global macro trends. Our principles are supported by maintaining a zero-incident mindset through our values and behaviors which enables Eastman's success by protecting people, planet and profit.

At Eastman, sustainability is about creating value. We believe a truly sustainable company is one that creates significantly more value in the world than the resources it uses. Eastman is steering a sustainable portfolio by identifying and assessing global macro trends and disruptions, while engaging in impactful partnerships to help Eastman create a pipeline of sustainable innovation that enhances the quality of life in a material way. Sustainability includes how and where we source raw materials for our products, including sources reliant upon forestry. Eastman is concerned that forestry practices in certain regions may threaten old growth forests and may contribute to deforestation with potential negative impact on the biodiversity and ecosystem integrity contained within these forests. Eastman takes a holistic and systems-based approach to sustainable forest management. Such an approach includes our commitment to the protection and conservation of ancient and endangered forests which are intact forest landscape mosaics, naturally rare forest types, forest types that have been made rare due to human activity, and/or other forests that are ecologically critical for the protection of biological diversity.

Innovation is foundational to everything we do. We are a materials company, but more than that, we're a people company. Our people drive innovation and continually solve the world's most critical problems to achieve our vision for A Better Circle.

Our Commitments:

- Mitigating Climate Change
  - Reduce our absolute greenhouse gas Scope 1 and 2 emissions by 32% by 2030 to achieve carbon neutrality by 2050
  - Innovate to provide products that enable energy savings and greenhouse gas reduction down our value chains and at the consumer level

- MainstreamingCircularity
  - Recycle more than 500 million pounds of plastic waste annually by 2030 via molecular recycling technologies, with a commitment to recycle 250 million pounds annually by 2025
  - Catalyze improvement of the recycling system by continuing to expand capabilities to recycle more complex products and by participating in initiatives and collaborations to drive increased collection

- Caring for Society
  - Achieve gender parity in alignment with our commitment for Paradigm for Parity®
  - Be a leader for racial equity within our industry
  - Drive new product innovations that advance solutions for society’s most pressing needs while ensuring product safety and transparency

F0.2

(F0.2) State the start and end date of the year for which you are reporting data.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Start Date</th>
<th>End Date</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Reporting year</td>
<td>January 1 2021</td>
<td>December 31 2021</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

F0.3

(F0.3) Select the currency used for all financial information disclosed throughout your response.

USD
F0.4

(F0.4) Select the forest risk commodity(ies) that you are, or are not, disclosing on (including any that are sources for your processed ingredients or manufactured goods); and for each select the stages of the supply chain that best represents your organization’s area of operation.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Commodity disclosure</th>
<th>Stage of the value chain</th>
<th>Explanation if not disclosing</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Timber products</td>
<td>Disclosing</td>
<td>Manufacturing</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Palm oil</td>
<td>Disclosing</td>
<td>Manufacturing</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cattle products</td>
<td>This commodity is not produced, sourced or used by our organization</td>
<td>&lt;Not Applicable&gt;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Soy</td>
<td>This commodity is not produced, sourced or used by our organization</td>
<td>&lt;Not Applicable&gt;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other - Rubber</td>
<td>This commodity is not produced, sourced or used by our organization</td>
<td>&lt;Not Applicable&gt;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other - Cocoa</td>
<td>This commodity is not produced, sourced or used by our organization</td>
<td>&lt;Not Applicable&gt;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other - Coffee</td>
<td>This commodity is not produced, sourced or used by our organization</td>
<td>&lt;Not Applicable&gt;</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

F0.5

(F0.5) Are there any parts of your direct operations or supply chain that are not included in your disclosure?

No

F0.6

(F0.6) Does your organization have an ISIN code or another unique identifier (e.g., Ticker, CUSIP, etc.?)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Indicate whether you are able to provide a unique identifier for your organization</th>
<th>Provide your unique identifier</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Yes, a Ticker Symbol</td>
<td>EMN</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

F1. Current state

F1.1

(F1.1) How does your organization produce, use or sell your disclosed commodity(ies)?
Timber products

Activity
Using as input into product manufacturing

Form of commodity
Pulp
Primary packaging
Cellulose-based textile fiber

Source
Multiple contracted producers
Contracted suppliers (manufacturers)

Country/Area of origin
Brazil
Canada
Norway
United States of America

% of procurement spend
1-5%

Comment
Eastman Chemical Company and its subsidiaries are committed to conducting all business activities in accordance with the highest legal and ethical standards. Eastman is committed to complying with all applicable export, import and trade compliance laws and regulations in the jurisdictions in which Eastman does business. In addition, Eastman strives to conduct business only with third parties who share its commitments to comply with legal requirements and high ethical standards. Eastman expects the third parties who produce products for or provide services to Eastman to conduct business responsibly — with integrity, honesty and transparency. Eastman developed “Doing Business with Eastman as a Third-Party Code of Conduct” to ensure that third parties are aware of Eastman’s expectations when working with our employees and for their own business conduct. Eastman takes a holistic and systems-based approach to sustainable forest management. Our approach includes our commitment to the protection and conservation of ancient and endangered forests which are intact forest landscape mosaics, naturally rare forest types, forest types that have been made rare due to human activity, and/or other forests that are ecologically critical for the protection of biological diversity. Eastman’s global spend for wood pulp and cellulose-based textile fiber was ~5% of total direct procurement spend. Eastman sources from Brazil, the United States, Canada, and Norway. Sourcing in Canada and Norway is currently experimental, and these countries are not established and regular sources of wood pulp. However, they are being qualified as future sourcing options. The wood pulp externally procured is used to produce a variety of cellulosic products at our production facilities. Our complete supply chain is covered by Programme for the Endorsement of Forest Certification (PEFC) and Forest Stewardship Council (FSC) Chain of Custody (CoC) certifications and all raw materials are procured in line with the PEFC and/or FSC Controlled Wood international requirements. All Eastman suppliers must comply with Eastman’s Code of Conduct, Sustainable Dissolving Wood Pulp Sourcing Policies and environmental and safety management systems according to ISO, their compliance with which is routinely verified by a supplier’s risk assessment and through external and internal audits.

Palm oil

Activity
Using as input into product manufacturing

Form of commodity
Palm oil derivatives
Palm kernel oil derivatives

Source
Multiple contracted producers
Trader/broker/commodity market
Contracted suppliers (manufacturers)

Country/Area of origin
Indonesia
Malaysia

% of procurement spend
1-5%

Comment
Eastman Chemical Company and its subsidiaries are committed to conducting all of its business activities in accordance with the highest legal and ethical standards. Eastman is committed to complying with all applicable export, import and trade compliance laws and regulations in the jurisdictions in which Eastman does business. In addition, Eastman strives to conduct business only with third parties who share its commitments to comply with legal requirements and high ethical standards. Eastman expects the third parties who produce products for or provide services to Eastman to conduct business responsibly — with integrity, honesty and transparency. Eastman developed “Doing Business with Eastman as a Third-Party Code of Conduct” to ensure that third parties are aware of Eastman’s expectations when working with our employees and for their own business conduct. Eastman is steering a sustainable portfolio by identifying and assessing global macro trends and disruptions, while engaging in impactful partnerships to help Eastman create a pipeline of sustainable innovation that enhances the quality of life in a material way. Eastman takes a holistic and systems-based approach to sustainable forest management. Our approach includes our commitment to the protection and conservation of ancient and endangered forests which are intact forest landscape mosaics, naturally rare forest types, forest types that have been made rare due to human activity, and/or other forests that are ecologically critical for the protection of biological diversity.
(F1.2) Indicate the percentage of your organization’s revenue that was dependent on your disclosed forest risk commodity(ies) in the reporting year.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>% of revenue dependent on commodity</th>
<th>Comment</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Timber products 11-20%</td>
<td>Eastman has a diverse business with 4 main segments across several industries and applications: Advanced Materials, Fibers, Additives and Functional Products, and Chemical Intermediates. The figures reported here are based on revenue from wood based products.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Palm oil 1-5%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cattle products &lt;Not Applicable&gt;</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Soy &lt;Not Applicable&gt;</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other - Rubber &lt;Not Applicable&gt;</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other - Cocoa &lt;Not Applicable&gt;</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other - Coffee &lt;Not Applicable&gt;</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

(F1.5) Does your organization collect production and/or consumption data for your disclosed commodity(ies)?

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Forest risk commodity</th>
<th>Data availability/Disclosure</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Timber products</td>
<td>Production data available, disclosing</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Palm oil</td>
<td>Data available, but not disclosing</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cattle products</td>
<td>&lt;Not Applicable&gt;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Soy</td>
<td>&lt;Not Applicable&gt;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other - Rubber</td>
<td>&lt;Not Applicable&gt;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other - Cocoa</td>
<td>&lt;Not Applicable&gt;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other - Coffee</td>
<td>&lt;Not Applicable&gt;</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

(F1.5a) Disclose your production and/or consumption figure, and the percentage of commodity volumes verified as deforestation- and/or conversion-free.

Forest risk commodity

Timber products

Data type

Production data

Commodity production/ consumption volume

250000

Metric for commodity production/ consumption volume

Metric tons

Data coverage

Partial commodity production/consumption

Have any of your reported commodity volumes been verified as deforestation- and/or conversion-free?

Yes

% of reported volume verified as deforestation- and/or conversion-free

100

Please explain

Specific consumption data is confidential and therefore not disclosed. Eastman’s decision not to disclose all data is also based on the fact that all of Eastman’s wood pulp suppliers conform to the standards of internationally recognized forestry certifications, including Forest Stewardship Council (FSC) and/or the Programme for the Endorsement of Forest Certification (PEFC), and is fully traceable through the FSC Chain of Custody (CoC) certification of applicable sites. Eastman also maintains a public Sustainable Dissolving Wood Pulp Sourcing Policy for applicable product lines that specifies our requirements for wood pulp suppliers. The sustainability of our pulp procurement is verified by regular, independent, third-party audits. The production volume disclosed in this response represents the volume of product produced with wood pulp as an input. Eastman has verified that 100% of its wood pulp suppliers conform to the standards of internationally recognized forestry certifications, including Forest Stewardship Council (FSC) and/or Programme for the Endorsement of Forest Certification (PEFC). Both FSC and PEFC include considerations for deforestation and/or conversion of forests to plantations or non-forest use. Eastman also holds both FSC and PEFC certifications, representing the traceability of our wood-based raw materials, and for which we are audited annually.

(F1.5b)
(F1.5b) For your disclosed commodity(ies), indicate the percentage of the production/consumption volume sourced by national and/or sub-national jurisdiction of origin.

**Forest risk commodity**
Timber products

**Country/Area of origin**
Any other countries/areas

**State or equivalent jurisdiction**
<Not Applicable>

**% of total production/consumption volume**
70

**Please explain**
Other countries include USA, Norway, and Canada. 65-75% of total consumption comes from these areas. This percentage is determined by reviewing commercial procurement contracts. The specific volume sourced from each region may vary based on our confidential commercial agreements. Sourcing in Canada and Norway is currently experimental, and these countries are not established and regular sources of wood pulp. However, they are being qualified as future sourcing options. All of Eastman's wood pulp suppliers conform to the standards of internationally recognized forestry certifications, including Forest Stewardship Council (FSC) and/or Programme for the Endorsement of Forest Certification (PEFC). The procured volumes are controlled accordingly and measured during the procurement and the origin of procured wood and pulp is fully traceable due to FSC Chain of Custody certification.

**Forest risk commodity**
Timber products

**Country/Area of origin**
Brazil

**State or equivalent jurisdiction**
Specify state/equivalent jurisdiction (Bahia)

**% of total production/consumption volume**
30

**Please explain**
25-35% of total consumption comes from Brazil. This percentage is determined by reviewing commercial procurement contracts. The specific volume sourced may vary based on our commercial agreements and more details are not disclosed due to confidentiality reasons. All of Eastman's wood pulp suppliers conform to the standards of internationally recognized forestry certifications, including Forest Stewardship Council (FSC) and/or Programme for the Endorsement of Forest Certification (PEFC). The procured volumes are controlled accordingly and measured during the procurement and the origin of procured wood and pulp is fully traceable due to FSC Chain of Custody certification.

---

**F1.5c**

(F1.5c) Why is your organization not disclosing production and/or consumption data for your disclosed commodity(ies)?

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Primary reason</th>
<th>Please explain</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Timber products</td>
<td>&lt;Not Applicable&gt;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Palm oil products</td>
<td>Data considered confidential. Eastman is not disclosing consumption data for palm oil derivatives and considers this data confidential because this information is considered competitively sensitive to our business and would enable competitors to gain undesired insight into our operations. Eastman is aiming to make palm oil derivative consumption data public in the future, though this will require internal consideration to determine the most effective way to disclose this information.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Soy</td>
<td>&lt;Not Applicable&gt;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other - Rubber</td>
<td>&lt;Not Applicable&gt;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other - Cocoa</td>
<td>&lt;Not Applicable&gt;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other - Coffee</td>
<td>&lt;Not Applicable&gt;</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

---

**F1.5e**
(F1.5e) How does your organization produce or consume biofuel derived from palm oil?

Does your organization produce or consume biofuel derived from palm oil?
No

Data type
<Not Applicable>

Volume produced/consumed
<Not Applicable>

Metric
<Not Applicable>

Country/Area of origin
<Not Applicable>

State or equivalent jurisdiction
<Not Applicable>

% of total production/consumption volume
<Not Applicable>

Does the source of your organization's biofuel material come from smallholders?
<Not Applicable>

Comment

F1.6

(F1.6) Has your organization experienced any detrimental forests-related impacts?

No

F1.7

(F1.7) Indicate whether you have assessed the deforestation or conversion footprint for your disclosed commodities over the past 5 years, or since a specified cutoff date, and provide details.

Forest risk commodity
Timber products

Have you monitored or estimated your deforestation/conversion footprint?
Yes, we monitor deforestation/conversion footprint in our supply chain

Coverage
Full consumption volume

Reporting deforestation/conversion since a specified cutoff date or during the last five years?
Since a specified cutoff date, please specify year (1994)

Known or estimated deforestation/conversion footprint (hectares)
0

Describe methods and data sources used to monitor or estimate deforestation/conversion footprint
Eastman suppliers do not source wood from plantations that were established on areas converted from natural forest after November 1994 and can supply evidence specifying conversion date. This statement is included in our Supplier agreements and also verified annually by independent audits as part of the FSC and/or PEFC certification process. All Eastman suppliers are FSC and/or PEFC certified.

Forest risk commodity
Palm oil

Have you monitored or estimated your deforestation/conversion footprint?
Yes, we monitor deforestation/conversion footprint in our supply chain

Coverage
Partial consumption volume

Reporting deforestation/conversion since a specified cutoff date or during the last five years?
During the last 5 years

Known or estimated deforestation/conversion footprint (hectares)
600

Describe methods and data sources used to monitor or estimate deforestation/conversion footprint
We engage with our suppliers and their sustainability directors 1-2 times per year to ensure that their deforestation/conversion practices, certifications (RSPO), and adherence to standards (NPDE) are still in place. This oversight enables us to state that 99.3% of the palm oil products that Eastman procures is deforestation-free. We do not have full clarity around the sourcing and deforestation practices of 0.7% of the palm oil derivatives that we source. We are able to calculate that 0.7% of our palm oil derivative sourcing equates to 600 hectares, and therefore our footprint that we cannot guarantee is deforestation-free is 600 hectares.
F2. Procedures

F2.1

(F2.1) Does your organization undertake a forests-related risk assessment?
Yes, forests-related risks are assessed

F2.1a

(F2.1a) Select the options that best describe your procedures for identifying and assessing forests-related risks.

Timber products
- Value chain stage
  - Direct operations
  - Supply chain
- Coverage
  - Full
- Risk assessment procedure
  - Assessed as part of an established enterprise risk management framework
- Frequency of assessment
  - Annually
- How far into the future are risks considered?
  - 1 to 3 years
- Tools and methods used
  - Internal company methods
  - External consultants
  - Other, please specify (Responsible Care®, Together for Sustainability)
- Issues considered
  - Availability of forest risk commodities
  - Quality of forests risk commodities
  - Impact of activity on the status of ecosystems and habitats
  - Regulation
  - Climate change
  - Impact on water security
  - Tariffs or price increases
  - Loss of markets
  - Brand damage related to forests risk commodities
  - Corruption
  - Social impacts
- Stakeholders considered
  - Customers
  - Employees
  - Investors
  - Local communities
  - NGOs
  - Regulators
  - Suppliers

Please explain
Risks are evaluated annually as part of an established enterprise risk management framework. Within our direct operations, Eastman works with external consultants through an annual recertification process to ensure we meet Forest Sustainability Council (FSC) standards and are mitigating any risks that might occur if we failed to comply. Additionally, through the Responsible Care® initiative and the Responsible Care® Global Charter, Eastman has committed to continually improve our health, safety, environmental, and security performance. Eastman follows this charter because we believe these principles are best-in-class to promote sustainable, safe practices in the chemicals industry. The Responsible Care® principles help guide our approach to and management of sustainability issues. To identify and assess forest-related risks in our supply chain, Eastman uses internal, annual Global Sourcing and Procurement Supplier Assessments and the Together for Sustainability initiative. Our framework for Supplier Assessments employs three lenses: technical, commercial, and sustainability. Assessments use a standard scorecard based on commodity risk level. Suppliers not in compliance with Eastman's processes and expectations are expected to implement corrective actions or risk not being considered by Eastman for future business. All suppliers must conform to the FSC or the Programme for the Endorsements of Forest Certification (PEFC) programs. Assessments enable Eastman to track supplier performance in a consistent, comparable way and minimize supply chain risks. Eastman is a member of the Together for Sustainability (TfS) initiative which develops and implements a global supplier engagement program to assess, audit and improve sustainability practices within the supply chain of the chemical industry. Under this initiative, Eastman requests suppliers to complete an Ecovadis sustainability assessment once every three years. Eastman incorporates the Ecovadis assessment into its Supplier Assessments and reviews to determine risks. Eastman considers climate change one of its most significant issues. Our FSC certification and Supplier Assessments ensure that we are managing our own operations and working with suppliers in such a way as to cause the least environmental impact, by ensuring that our procurement of wood pulp is not linked to deforestation.
Palm oil

Value chain stage
Supply chain

Coverage
Partial

Risk assessment procedure
Assessed as part of other company-wide risk assessment system

Frequency of assessment
Annually

How far into the future are risks considered?
> 6 years

Tools and methods used
Internal company methods
External consultants
Other, please specify (Together for Sustainability, Ecovadis)

Issues considered
Availability of forest risk commodities
Quality of forests risk commodities
Impact of activity on the status of ecosystems and habitats
Regulation
Climate change
Impact on water security
Tariffs or price increases
Loss of markets
Brand damage related to forests risk commodities
Corruption
Social impacts

Stakeholders considered
Customers
Employees
Investors
Local communities
NGOs
Regulators
Suppliers

Please explain
Eastman evaluates risks in its palm oil supply chain annually as part of a company-wide risk assessment system. We have developed a procedure to evaluate supplier risks through an annual internal supplier risk assessment. This assessment looks at Ecovadis scores, supplier commercial performance, and reputation risk based on country of operation, among other things. We request Ecovadis scores as part of our participation in the Together for Sustainability initiative, which develops and implements a global supplier engagement program to assess, audit and improve sustainability practices within the supply chain of the chemical industry. The Ecovadis sustainability assessment has four elements: Environmental, Labor and Human Rights, Ethics, and Sustainable Procurement. Eastman then reviews suppliers’ Ecovadis scores as part of the larger supplier assessment to identify any risks. This assessment enables us to evaluate how suppliers are performing, prioritize outreach to suppliers if a potential issue is identified, and determine if an audit is needed. Based on the outcome of our internal risk assessments, we may determine that suppliers should be audited by external consultants, such as Ecovadis. We make use of external consultant audits on an as-needed basis. These audits enable us to get more granular and specific information about supplier performance to inform our sourcing decisions. The most significant issues to our palm oil supply chain are availability of palm oil derivatives, the impact of activity on the status and health of ecosystems, brand damage, and corruption. Continuously evaluating our suppliers helps mitigate these risks. Through our supplier assessments we are able to evaluate supplier commercial performance and the health of our supply of palm oil derivatives. The Ecovadis assessment evaluates companies on matters such as environmental management and corruption, and so we are able to ensure that there is no environmental mismanagement or corruption in our supply chains by asking suppliers to complete this assessment every two years. Taking these steps to assess suppliers ultimately helps protect Eastman from any brand damage due to poor environmental or social practices by suppliers.

F2.2

(F2.2) For each of your disclosed commodity(ies), has your organization mapped its value chain(s)?

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Commodity</th>
<th>Value chain mapping</th>
<th>Primary reason for not mapping your value chain</th>
<th>Explain why your organization does not map its value chain and outline any plans to introduce it</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Timber products</td>
<td>Yes, we have mapped the entire value chain</td>
<td>&lt;Not Applicable&gt;</td>
<td>&lt;Not Applicable&gt;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Palm oil</td>
<td>Yes, we have partially mapped the value chain</td>
<td>&lt;Not Applicable&gt;</td>
<td>&lt;Not Applicable&gt;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cattle products</td>
<td>&lt;Not Applicable&gt;</td>
<td>&lt;Not Applicable&gt;</td>
<td>&lt;Not Applicable&gt;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Soy</td>
<td>&lt;Not Applicable&gt;</td>
<td>&lt;Not Applicable&gt;</td>
<td>&lt;Not Applicable&gt;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other - Rubber</td>
<td>&lt;Not Applicable&gt;</td>
<td>&lt;Not Applicable&gt;</td>
<td>&lt;Not Applicable&gt;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other - Cocoa</td>
<td>&lt;Not Applicable&gt;</td>
<td>&lt;Not Applicable&gt;</td>
<td>&lt;Not Applicable&gt;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other - Coffee</td>
<td>&lt;Not Applicable&gt;</td>
<td>&lt;Not Applicable&gt;</td>
<td>&lt;Not Applicable&gt;</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

F2.2a
(F2.2a) Provide details of your organization's value chain mapping for its disclosed commodity(ies).

**Forest risk commodity**
- Timber products

**Scope of value chain mapping**
- Own operations
- Tier 1 suppliers
- Tier 2 suppliers
- Customers

% of total suppliers covered within selected tier(s)
- 100

**Description of mapping process and coverage**
Eastman engages directly with all suppliers of wood-based raw materials and thus has full visibility of this part of the value chain. Eastman also regularly engages with downstream customers of products involving timber content and maintains a line of sight into this part of the value chain.

Your own production and primary processing sites: attach a list of facility names and locations (optional)

Your suppliers' production and primary processing sites: attach a list of names and locations (optional)

---

(F3.1) Have you identified any inherent forests-related risks with the potential to have a substantive financial or strategic impact on your business?

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Forest risk commodity</th>
<th>Risk identified?</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Timber products</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Palm oil</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cattle products</td>
<td>&lt;Not Applicable&gt;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Soy</td>
<td>&lt;Not Applicable&gt;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other - Rubber</td>
<td>&lt;Not Applicable&gt;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other - Cocoa</td>
<td>&lt;Not Applicable&gt;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other - Coffee</td>
<td>&lt;Not Applicable&gt;</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

---

(F3.1a) How does your organization define substantive financial or strategic impact on your business?

Eastman defines a substantive impact as one that would require significant additional and increased capital expenditures, increases in costs for raw materials and energy, limitations on raw material and energy source and supply choices, or other direct compliance costs.

Eastman uses accumulated earnings or slower growth as quantifiable indicators to define substantive financial or strategic impact. Climate risk severity impact levels varies from Low (< $50 M accumulated losses or 10% slower growth) to Very High (> $250 M accumulated losses or 50% slower growth).

---

(F3.1b) For your disclosed forest risk commodity(ies), provide details of risks identified with the potential to have a substantive financial or strategic impact on your business, and your response to those risks.

**Forest risk commodity**
- Timber products
Type of risk
Chronic physical

Geographical scale
Global

Where in your value chain does the risk driver occur?
Supply chain

Primary risk driver
Increased ecosystem vulnerability

Primary potential impact
Supply chain disruption

Company-specific description
Ecosystem vulnerabilities could lead to disruption in availability of supply which in turn could disrupt Eastman sales. The sourcing practices of our wood pulp suppliers may negatively impact ecosystems if not properly managed. Eastman purchases wood pulp for the manufacturing of a variety of cellulosic products. The production and the respective business margins are exposed to risks arising from the availability of the raw material and its price. Eastman looks at the value chain as a total system and is therefore sensitive to physical risks. Eastman actively sources wood pulp from different regions such as Brazil and the United States and could alternatively source from Norway or Canada. 30% of Eastman's wood pulp comes from Brazil, and so Eastman could face risks if that supply is threatened. 70% of Eastman's wood pulp comes from the United States, Norway, and Canada, with the majority coming from the United States. 11-20% of Eastman's revenue is dependent on timber products, and so a disruption to supply chain due to ecosystem mismanagement and vulnerability could affect Eastman's sales and/or profitability.

Timeframe
>6 years

Magnitude of potential impact
Medium

Likelihood
Very unlikely

Are you able to provide a potential financial impact figure?
Yes, an estimated range

Potential financial impact (currency)
<Not Applicable>

Potential financial impact figure - minimum (currency)
5000000

Potential financial impact figure - maximum (currency)
10000000

Explanation of financial
The estimated financial impact figures provided represent a potential range of increased raw material sourcing costs. If ecosystem vulnerability in any region Eastman sources from leads to supply disruptions, we may face two potential impacts. First, supply disruptions may cause extra tightness in the wood pulp market, leading to price increases based on the limited amount of wood pulp production capacity that is available. The financial impact is calculated from an increased cost for wood pulp based on market conditions. Eastman follows the development in wood pulp prices closely from the public disclosures from listed companies with leading positions in the pulp market. Second, Eastman may face increased costs if it must source from alternative regions or use alternative logistics. The calculation of $5-10MM is based on the percentage of wood pulp procurement spend that could be affected by additional costs from changing market conditions or additional costs to secure supply from alternative regions/modes.

Primary response to risk
Engagement with suppliers

Description of response
Eastman is currently engaging and assessing its suppliers to understand what risks exist, what they are doing to mitigate those risks and what else can be done to address risks. Our framework for supplier assessments employs three lenses: - Technical (quality requirements, packaging needs, supply chain capabilities, multiple ports of entry for imports, etc.), - Commercial (product cost, volume targets and financial performance), - Sustainability (environment, labor & human rights, ethics, sustainable procurement, regulatory, ISO certificates, etc.). All new supplier/product combinations need to be on-boarded using a standardized scorecard based on commodity risk level. Supplier assessment and engagement has been effective to ensure that Eastman is identifying and addressing any risks in our supply chain. Eastman also promotes conservation and restorations projects in partnership with strategic suppliers through the Eastman Foundation. In 2020 Eastman engaged with Longleaf Alliance and Georgia Pacific in a conservation project to restore 320 acres of Florida's Torreya State Park to Longleaf forest. This project was implemented in 2021 and resulted in the planting of 400 longleaf pine trees per acre. This project had several ecological benefits, such as protecting water quality and wildlife habitat. Timescale of implementation: Eastman is currently engaging and assessing suppliers through supplier assessments and plans to continue doing so into the foreseeable future.

Cost of response
200000

Explanation of cost of response
Eastman has an estimated annual budget of $40,000 USD for investment in conservation projects. To manage wood pulp supply risks and to maintain an active supplier engagement program, Eastman maintains 1 equivalent FTE at an estimated salary of $160,000 USD. $40,000 + $160,000 = $200,000.
Availability of certified sustainable material

Primary potential impact
Disruption to sales

Company-specific description
Eastman relies on a supply of palm oil derivatives from producers that have no-deforestation policies and certifications in place. Currently, Eastman sources palm oil derivatives from Indonesia and Malaysia, and 36% of the palm oil derivatives that Eastman sources are RSPO-certified, and Eastman wants to increase that percentage in the future. However, we are already seeing tightness in the market for certified materials. If availability issues occur for certified materials, that could impact Eastman’s sales in the future due to our inability to purchase certified materials and produce goods for sale that have those certifications.

Timeframe
>6 years

Magnitude of potential impact
Medium-high

Likelihood
About as likely as not

Are you able to provide a potential financial impact figure?
Yes, an estimated range

Potential financial impact (currency)
<Not Applicable>

Potential financial impact figure - minimum (currency)
15000000

Potential financial impact figure - maximum (currency)
20000000

Explanation of financial
The calculation of $15-20M is based on a percentage of our revenue that could eventually be affected by a loss of sales revenue if the availability of certified RSPO palm oil derivatives, upon which Eastman depends to produce certain goods, is adversely affected.

Primary response to risk
Engagement with suppliers

Description of response
Eastman relies on suppliers and their commitments to transparency to ensure that we have continuous access to certified products. We engage with suppliers on an ongoing basis on webinars and by facilitating dialogues with sustainability experts in their companies, and plan to continue this engagement in the future. We expect some residual risk to exist given the inherent uncertainty of different aspects of international supply chains but continue to address this risk with engagement and education.

Engaging suppliers has been an effective method for ensuring supply chain transparency and identifying potential supplier risks. Timescale of implementation: Eastman is currently engaging and assessing suppliers through webinars and ongoing dialogue, and plans to continue doing so into the foreseeable future.

Cost of response
50000

Explanation of cost of response
Managing engagement of the suppliers referenced in this response falls under the portfolio of one strategic sourcing manager, and accounts for 25% of this sourcing manager’s time. This manager’s salary is estimated to be $200,000. 25% * $200,000 = $50,000.

F3.2

(F3.2) Have you identified any forests-related opportunities with the potential to have a substantive financial or strategic impact on your business?

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Have you identified opportunities?</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Timber products</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Palm oil</td>
<td>Yes, we have identified opportunities but are unable to realize them</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cattle products</td>
<td>&lt;Not Applicable&gt;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Soy</td>
<td>&lt;Not Applicable&gt;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other - Rubber</td>
<td>&lt;Not Applicable&gt;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other - Cocoa</td>
<td>&lt;Not Applicable&gt;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other - Coffee</td>
<td>&lt;Not Applicable&gt;</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

F3.2a
(F3.2a) For your selected forest risk commodity(ies), provide details of the identified opportunities with the potential to have a substantive financial or strategic impact on your business.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Forest risk commodity</th>
<th>Timber products</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Type of opportunity</td>
<td>Markets</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Where in your value chain does the opportunity occur?</td>
<td>Direct operation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Primary forests-related opportunity</td>
<td>Increased demand for certified materials</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Company-specific description & strategy to realize opportunity

At Eastman, we recognize the growing need to shift to a more sustainable textiles industry, and the growing customer demand for this shift. Our objective is to democratize sustainability and to make sustainable and circular fashion mainstream. In response, Eastman has developed the Naia™ and Naia™ Renew product lines. Naia™ cellulosic fibers are made with wood pulp from pine and eucalyptus trees. Eastman has achieved FSC™ and PEFC Chain of Custody certification, ensuring the traceability of the wood-based raw materials used in Naia™ through the supply chain back to the forest. Naia™ Renew cellulosic fiber adds another pillar of sustainability to an already sustainable fiber platform. With Naia™ Renew, recycled content is diverted from landfill, broken down to its basic building blocks via Eastman’s patented carbon renewal technology—or molecular recycling—and used to produce a circular, fully traceable, and biodegradable fiber with a reduced carbon footprint by ~35%. Naia™ Renew consists of 60% certified sustainable wood pulp and incorporates 40% recycled content, creating a scalable solution to address the significant global issue of waste, pollution, and overconsumption of natural raw materials. Eastman is certified to the ISCC PLUS standard, a credible, transparent, and well-established standard for recycled content. ISCC PLUS is the largest, most developed, and most rigorous certification system for mass balance allocation in chemical recycling. Eastman’s manufacturing operations for Naia™ Renew are certified by SCS Global Services to comply with the ISCC PLUS standard. Our vision with Naia™ and Naia™ Renew is to make sustainable textiles accessible to all. To this end, we have set a goal that by 2025, more than 50% of Eastman’s Textiles portfolio is Naia™ Renew, and by 2030, more than 90% of the portfolio is Naia™ Renew. Given the growing demand among customers for certified materials, Eastman’s initiatives and bold targets related to Naia™ and Naia™ Renew product lines are considered critical to Eastman’s future product strategy. These products are key to positioning Eastman as a go-to source for sustainable textiles.

Estimated timeframe for realization
1-3 years

Magnitude of potential impact
High

Likelihood
Very likely

Are you able to provide a potential financial impact figure?
Yes, an estimated range

Potential financial impact figure (currency)
<Not Applicable>

Potential financial impact figure – minimum (currency)
0

Potential financial impact figure – maximum (currency)
5000000

Explanation of financial impact figure
The financial impact was calculated based on the percentage growth in our textiles business that is projected to result from increased demand for certified materials and our expansion of the Naia™ and Naia™ Renew product lines. This projected growth in the textiles business is estimated to result in revenue growth of $0-5MM.

---

(F3.2b) Why does your organization not consider itself to have forests-related opportunities?

Palm oil

Primary reason
Opportunities exist, but we are unable to realize them

Please explain
Eastman is unable to realize forests-related opportunities for palm oil derivative sourcing because sourcing decisions are primarily made by our customers. Eastman is conducting business with highly reputable suppliers who are active in the Roundtable on Sustainable Palm Oil (RSPO). These suppliers are managing and evaluating opportunities and we are relying on their initiatives; however, we do not have direct influence over these opportunities. We are evaluating whether we can work together with customers that are making sourcing decisions in the future to identify opportunities.

F4. Governance

---

(F4.1) Is there board-level oversight of forests-related issues within your organization?
No
(F4.1c) Why is there no board-level oversight of forests-related issues and what are your plans to change this in the future?

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Primary reason</th>
<th>Board level oversight of forests-related issues will be introduced in the next two years</th>
<th>Please explain</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Row 1</td>
<td>Eastman is a large and diverse company using many different raw materials, of which wood pulp is a relatively small, but increasingly important, share.</td>
<td>The involvement of Eastman’s Board of Directors in forests-related issues is currently in transition; however, Eastman will be raising and solidifying its expectations regarding Board involvement in forests-related issues in the near future. The current Chair of Environmental Safety and Sustainability is well-positioned to lead Board oversight of forests-related issues. The person in this role has a high level of awareness of, an affinity for, and relevant experience related to sustainability and ESG topics. Therefore, Eastman is confident that the person in this role will take action to integrate forests-related issues at the Board level within the next year. The person in this role is currently seeking out training to better equip themselves with relevant knowledge and is planning training for the entire Board on forests-related issues.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

(F4.1d) Does your organization have at least one board member with competence on forests-related issues?

Row 1

Board member(s) have competence on forests-related issues

Yes

Criteria used to assess competence on forests-related issues

Eastman’s current Chair of Environmental Safety and Sustainability on the Board of Directors has chemical industry and specialty materials strategy, technology, innovation and manufacturing experience. Additionally, the person in this position has a high level of awareness of, an affinity for, and relevant experience related to sustainability and ESG topics. This skillset and experience renders the Chair of Environmental Safety and Sustainability competent in forests-related issues. Additionally, the Chair of Environmental Safety and Sustainability is currently planning a training program for the entire Board on forests-related issues.

Primary reason for no board-level competence on forests-related issues

<Not Applicable>

Explain why your organization does not have at least one board member with competence on forests-related issues and any plans to address board-level competence in the future

<Not Applicable>

(F4.2) Provide the highest management-level position(s) or committee(s) with responsibility for forests-related issues (do not include the names of individuals).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Name of the position(s) and/or committee(s)</th>
<th>Responsibility</th>
<th>Frequency of reporting to the board on forests-related issues</th>
<th>Please explain</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Other C-Suite Officer, please specify (Chief Commercial Officer)</td>
<td>Both assessing and managing forests-related risks and opportunities</td>
<td>Not reported to board</td>
<td>Eastman’s sourcing strategy is approved at the Eastman C-Suite level for our forests related risk and opportunities.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

(F4.3) Do you provide incentives to C-suite employees or board members for the management of forests-related issues?

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Provide incentives for management of forests-related issues</th>
<th>Comment</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Row 1</td>
<td>No, not currently but we do plan to introduce them in the next two years</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

(F4.4) Did your organization include information about its response to forests-related risks in its most recent mainstream financial report?

No, but we plan to do so in the next two years

(F4.5) Does your organization have a policy that includes forests-related issues?

Yes, we have a documented forests policy that is publicly available
(F4.5a) Select the options to describe the scope and content of your policy.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th><strong>Scope</strong></th>
<th><strong>Content</strong></th>
<th><strong>Please explain</strong></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Row 1</td>
<td><strong>Selected products only</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Commitment to eliminate conversion of natural ecosystems</td>
<td>Eastman has a robust sourcing policy which meets the needs and expectations of brands that we are engaging with and conforms to Canopy guidelines. Sourcing policies are in place for dissolving wood pulp products. No dissolving wood pulp is excluded from sourcing policies; Eastman has individual sourcing policies for some dissolving wood pulp products that require unique specifications, but all policy content listed in this response can be found in sourcing policies that cover all wood pulp products. These policies do not apply to products outside of our dissolving wood pulp procurement, but as we are disclosing “selected products only,” this is not relevant to this response. Our wood pulp dissolving policies support our compliance with sustainability certifications and help ensure we are adhering to our sustainability initiatives. Certifications are audited and progress related to sustainability initiatives are reviewed annually; as such, we review and update (if needed) our sourcing policies on an annual basis to align. Eastman has an annual training program for key wood pulp procurement decision makers to ensure that they are aware of and understand our sourcing policies, and are prepared to exercise these policies when making business decisions.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Commitment to eliminate deforestation</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Commitment to no deforestation, to no planting on peatlands and to no exploitation (NDPE)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Commitment to remediation, restoration and/or compensation of past harms</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Commitment to resolving both social and environmental issues in own operations and supply chain</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Commitment to protect rights and livelihoods of local communities</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Commitments beyond regulatory compliance</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Commitment to transparency</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Commitment to stakeholder awareness and engagement</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Commitment to align with the SDGs</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Description of business dependency on forests</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Recognition of potential business impact on forests and other natural ecosystems</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Description of forest risk commodities, parts of the business, and stages of value-chain covered by the policy</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Description of forests-related standards for procurement</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Commodity</td>
<td>Does Eastman have a commodity-specific sustainability policy?</td>
<td>Scope</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-----------</td>
<td>-------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>-------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Timber products</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Selected products only</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Palm oil</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>&lt;Not Applicable&gt;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cattle products</td>
<td>&lt;Not Applicable&gt;</td>
<td>&lt;Not Applicable&gt;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Soy</td>
<td>&lt;Not Applicable&gt;</td>
<td>&lt;Not Applicable&gt;</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Do you have a commodity specific sustainability policy?  | Scope | Content | Please explain |
--- | --- | --- | --- |
Other - Rubber | <Not Applicable> | <Not Applicable> | <Not Applicable> |
Other - Cocoa | <Not Applicable> | <Not Applicable> | <Not Applicable> |
Other - Coffee | <Not Applicable> | <Not Applicable> | <Not Applicable> |

**F4.6**

*(F4.6) Has your organization made a public commitment to reduce or remove deforestation and/or forest degradation from its direct operations and/or supply chain?*

Yes

**F4.6a**

*(F4.6a) Has your organization endorsed any of the following initiatives as part of its public commitment to reduce or remove deforestation and/or forest degradation?*

Other, please specify (Forest Stewardship Council (FSC), Programme for the Endorsement of Forest Certification (PEFC), CanopyStyle)

**F4.6b**
(F4.6b) Provide details on your public commitment(s), including the description of specific criteria, coverage, and actions.

**Forest risk commodity**
Timber products

**Criteria**
- No conversion of natural ecosystems
- Zero net deforestation
- Avoidance of negative impacts on threatened and protected species and habitats
- No trade of CITES listed species
- No conversion of High Conservation Value areas
- Secure Free, Prior and Informed Consent (FPIC) of indigenous people and local communities
- Adoption of the UN International Labour Organization principles
- No sourcing of illegally produced and/or traded forest risk commodities
- No sourcing of forest risk commodities from unknown/controversial sources
- Recognition of legal and customary land tenure rights

**Operational coverage**
Direct operations and supply chain

% of total production/consumption covered by commitment
100%

**Cutoff date**
2019

**Commitment target date**
2021-25

**Please explain**
We have not identified any deforestation in our direct operations or supply chain but have taken steps to help address relevant considerations. We undergo annual audits which evaluate our own practices, as well as our oversight and the practices of our supply chain. Audits confirm that our suppliers are FSC and/or PEFC-compliant. CanopyStyle audits, as well as other annual audits, evaluate Eastman's systems to ensure that there is zero net deforestation and FPIC certifications in our supply chain at all locations where we source dissolving wood pulp (USA, Norway, Canada, Brazil). Through these auditing mechanisms, Eastman is able to ensure it is meeting its commitments to the criteria listed in this response.

**Forest risk commodity**
Palm oil

**Criteria**
Other, please specify (no commitments relevant to palm oil)

**Operational coverage**
Selected facilities, businesses or geographies only

% of total production/consumption covered by commitment
<1%

**Cutoff date**
Not applicable

**Commitment target date**
No target date

**Please explain**
We have not made a public commitment to reduce or remove deforestation and/or forest degradation from our direct operations and/or supply chain with regards to palm oil.

---

F5. Business strategy

F5.1
Are forests-related issues integrated? | Long-term business objectives | Please explain
--- | --- | ---
Yes | 5-10 years | Eastman regularly integrates forests-related issues into its long-term business objectives, at both the corporate and business levels. Eastman sets several corporate sustainability goals for 2030 and beyond, including targets for sustainable product innovation. For example, we have set a target to assess 100% of our innovation portfolio using our Sustainability Assessment Tool, with 80% of the portfolio achieving a leadership rating by 2030. One example of such sustainable product innovation specific to timber is Eastman’s product line of Naia™ cellulosic fibers. With full transparency from tree to fiber, Naia™ is sourced responsibly from sustainably managed pine and eucalyptus forests and plantations to ensure no deforestation of ancient and endangered forests. This effort to create a more sustainable textiles industry was recognized in Canopy’s 2021 Hot Button Report, where Eastman ranked among the top 5 producers for our sustainable production of Naia™ cellulosic fiber and was acknowledged for fiber innovation through our recent launch of Naia™ Renew—a cellulosic fiber produced from a portfolio of hard-to-recycle waste materials diverted from landfill. Eastman has set specific sustainability objectives for our Naia™ platform through 2030, with relevant goals including: (a) Commercialize a next-generation Naia™ fiber solution with non-wood-based cellulosic pulp with a goal to progressively increase proportion in our portfolio, (b) Enable advanced technology and information solutions for “track and trace” of materials from forest floor and recycled feedstocks to factory door by 2022, (c) Maintain our commitment to zero discharge of hazardous chemicals and use of certified wood pulp in the manufacture of our Naia™ fibers, (d) Because 2030 is a key milestone for sustainable product innovation at Eastman, we have selected a 5-10 year time horizon.

Yes | 5-10 years | Eastman’s strategy is to transform tomorrow by revolutionizing the materials that shape it today—a revolution in sustainable solutions to enhance the quality of life in a material way. To this end, Eastman is prioritizing three critical impact areas with our sustainability strategy: • Mitigating Climate Change • Mainstreaming Circularity • Caring for Society. Each of these critical impact areas has multiple strategic objectives associated with them that extend as far into the future as 2050. However, our forests-related strategy is to achieve long-term business objectives currently extends to 2030, and we have therefore extended our 5-10 year time horizon. Eastman is working to implement its sustainability strategy at the business level. Eastman believes that industry collaboration is key to building a more sustainable textiles industry, an example of which is the Naia™ cellulosic fiber product line. Through collaboration with products like Naia™, Eastman is committed to working with like-minded brands to deliver solutions that can truly make a difference. As an example, leading global fashion retailer H&M is first to market with its new Conscious Exclusive autumn/winter 2020 collection made with Eastman Naia™ Renew cellulosic fiber. Eastman is also working with industry partners like Quantis and nonprofits like Canopy and Textile Exchange to share information and collaborate to catalyze systemic change in the awareness and use of sustainable fibers. As an example, Canopy is an organization that works with the forest industry’s biggest customers and suppliers to develop business solutions that protect the world’s forests. Eastman completed its second CanopyStyle Audit in 2021 and received a green shirt designation again, demonstrating our continued commitment to the protection of ancient and endangered forests, preserving habitat biodiversity, and investment in next-generation fiber solutions.

Yes | 5-10 years | Raw material sourcing strategy for wood pulp and palm oil derivatives is a key input into the financial planning process for Eastman, which operates on a 5+ year time horizon; therefore, we have indicated 5-10 years in the long-term time horizon. Wood pulp is one of our key raw materials, and as such, we have a sourcing strategy for wood pulp that includes considerations around both sustainability and financial impacts. All Eastman dissolving wood pulp suppliers must demonstrate: (a) commitment to complying with Eastman’s Sustainable Dissolving Wood Pulp Sourcing Policy, (b) conformance to the forestry standards of either the Forest Stewardship Council (FSC) or Programme for the Endorsements of Forest Certification (PEFC), and (c) compliance with our Third-Party Code of Conduct. Eastman commits to only sourcing dissolving wood pulp from suppliers who meet these standards. Eastman’s dissolving wood pulp suppliers that are not in compliance with this policy are expected to implement corrective actions or face the prospect of not being considered by Eastman for future business. Eastman has a similar sourcing and assessment strategy for suppliers of palm oil derivatives.

F6. Implementation

F6.1

Did you have any timebound and quantifiable targets for increasing sustainable production and/or consumption of your disclosed commodity(ies) that were active during the reporting year?
Yes

F6.1a

Provide details of your timebound and quantifiable target(s) for increasing sustainable production and/or consumption of the disclosed commodity(ies), and progress made.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Target reference number</th>
<th>Forest risk commodity</th>
<th>Type of target</th>
<th>Description of target</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Target 1</td>
<td>Timber products</td>
<td>Engagement with direct suppliers</td>
<td>Eastman has a target that 100% of its wood pulp suppliers complete an EcoVadis assessment once every three years. Eastman is a member of the Together for Sustainability (TfS) initiative which develops and implements a global supplier engagement program to assess, audit and improve sustainability practices within the supply chain of the chemical industry. Under this initiative, Eastman requests suppliers to complete an EcoVadis assessment, which has four elements: Environmental, Labor and Human Rights, Ethics, and Sustainability Procurement. Our framework for supplier assessments employs three lenses: • Technical, • Commercial, and • Sustainability. Audits can be triggered by any issues that fall under the Technical, Commercial, or Sustainability lenses. EcoVadis scores are an input into Eastman’s annual supplier assessments. Assessments use a standard scorecard based on commodity risk level.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Linked commitment Other environmental commitments</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Traceability point &lt;Not Applicable&gt;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Third-party certification scheme &lt;Not Applicable&gt;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Start year 2017</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Target year 2022</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Quantitative metric
<Not Applicable>

Target (number)
<Not Applicable>

Target (%)
100

% of target achieved
100

Please explain
Eastman has set a target for 100% of our wood pulp suppliers to complete Ecovadis assessments once every three years. Ecovadis is a best-in-class survey tool to assess suppliers across a range of ESG topics, including environment, ethics, sustainable procurement, and human rights, and so gives Eastman a holistic and credible view into the programs of its suppliers to evaluate the sustainability of our value chain. We implement this target by working with suppliers to ensure they are completing the assessment once every three years. All of our suppliers initially completed the Ecovadis assessment in 2017 – 2019.

Target reference number
Target 2

Forest risk commodity
Timber products

Type of target
Ecosystem restoration

Description of target
Eastman has a target to complete at least one restoration project each year. Eastman provides financial investment for ecosystem restoration projects. For example, last year Eastman invested in a restoration project of the longleaf pine in the Torreya National Park, working with the Longleaf Alliance and one of its suppliers. The funding for this project came from the Eastman Foundation. We consider several parameters when evaluating a conservation programs. We consider if the program will have an impact on our value chain, and if there is an opportunity to collaborate with our partners. For the project in 2021 we worked with one of our North American suppliers. Another parameter we consider is if the area is marked as ancient or endangered by reputable standards such as the forest mapper from Canopy. In addition, we aim to have a positive impact on biodiversity, water quality and support thriving communities that are local to the areas where we might invest in projects.

Linked commitment
Other environmental commitments

Traceability point
<Not Applicable>

Third-party certification scheme
<Not Applicable>

Start year
2020

Target year
2021

Quantitative metric
Absolute number

Target (number)
1

Target (%)
<Not Applicable>

% of target achieved
100

Please explain
Eastman has set a target to complete one ecosystem restoration project each year. One of our Naia™ Sustainability goals is that we will proactively engage in advocacy and programs to protect forest ecosystems and support thriving communities through membership and contribution to MMCF initiatives, supporting the United Nations Free, Prior and Informed Consent (FPIC) policy. Our policy is to promote sustainable forest management practices and reduce ecological and environmental impacts in the supply chain. One of the ways that we do this is by engaging in ecosystem restoration projects. We will meet this target by continuously evaluating project and program opportunities and engaging in a manner that aligns with our company policies on forest management and deforestation and our parameters for ecosystem projects. We also receive support to pursue this goal from the Eastman Foundation. We consider several parameters when evaluating a conservation programs. We consider if the program will have an impact on our value chain, and if there is an opportunity to collaborate with our partners. For the project in 2021 we worked with one of our North American suppliers. Another parameter we consider is if the area is marked as ancient or endangered by reputable standards such as the forest mapper from Canopy. In addition, we aim to have a positive impact on biodiversity, water quality and support thriving communities that are local to the areas where we might invest in projects.

Target reference number
Target 3

Forest risk commodity
Timber products

Type of target
Third-party certification

Description of target
Eastman has a target that all Forest Stewardship Council (FSC) and/or Programme for the Endorsement of Forest Certification (PEFC) certifications held by Eastman and our suppliers are in good standing, and that 100% of dissolving wood pulp is sourced from suppliers holding one or both of these certifications.

Linked commitment

CDP
Other environmental commitments

**Traceability point**
<Not Applicable>

**Third-party certification scheme**
FSC (any type)
PEFC (any type)

**Start year**
2018

**Target year**
2021

**Quantitative metric**
<Not Applicable>

**Target (number)**
<Not Applicable>

**Target (%)**
100

**% of target achieved**
100

**Please explain**
Eastman has a target that all Forest Stewardship Council (FSC) and/or Programme for the Endorsement of Forest Certification (PEFC) certifications held by Eastman and our suppliers are in good standing, and that 100% of dissolving wood pulp is sourced from suppliers holding one or both of these certifications. This target was set because FSC and PEFC certifications are best-in-class, universally accepted certifications, and Eastman wants to ensure its supply chain is in compliance with these standards. Eastman plans to meet this target through its annual supplier assessments, supplier audits, and supplier engagement in the case of non-compliance.

**Target reference number**
Target 4

**Forest risk commodity**
Palm oil

**Type of target**
Engagement with direct suppliers

**Description of target**
Eastman is a member of the Together for Sustainability (TfS) initiative which develops and implements a global supplier engagement program to assess, audit and improve sustainability practices within the supply chain of the chemical industry. Under this initiative Eastman requests suppliers to complete an Ecovadis sustainability assessment, which has four elements: Environmental, Labor and Human Rights, Ethics, and Sustainable Procurement. Eastman has a target that all palm oil derivative suppliers complete an Ecovadis survey every 2 years.

**Linked commitment**
Other environmental commitments

**Traceability point**
<Not Applicable>

**Third-party certification scheme**
<Not Applicable>

**Start year**
2017

**Target year**
2022

**Quantitative metric**
<Not Applicable>

**Target (number)**
<Not Applicable>

**Target (%)**
100

**% of target achieved**
95

**Please explain**
Eastman has set a target for all palm oil derivative suppliers to complete an Ecovadis survey every 2 years. This is important because Ecovadis rates companies across a range of ESG issues, including Environmental, Labor and Human Rights, and Sustainable Procurement topics. Asking all suppliers to complete this assessment gives Eastman a consistent and ongoing view of supplier performance. We plan to meet this target through regular engagement with suppliers, annual supplier assessments, and supplier audits.
Do you have traceability system(s) in place to track and monitor the origin of your disclosed commodity(ies)?

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Description of traceability system</th>
<th>Exclusions of exclusion</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Eastman uses the Forest Stewardship Council (FSC) Chain of Custody certification program, Forest Stewardship Council (FSC) Controlled Wood certification program, and Programme for the Endorsement of Forest Certification (PEFC) Chain of Custody certification program, as well as annual certification audits, to enable traceability in its supply chain. While Eastman has never had a situation where it had to trace product back to its origins, Eastman has demonstrated the ability to trace wood pulp back to its origins through our audits. For some audits, we have had the auditor on-site at our suppliers' facilities performing verification of Eastman's control systems and visibility with regards to our supply chain. As part of our control systems, Eastman holds quarterly meetings internally to review documents from suppliers to ensure that suppliers are in compliance with all certifications and standards.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Timber products

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Palm oil</th>
<th>&lt;Not Applicable&gt;</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Cattle products</td>
<td>&lt;Not Applicable&gt;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Soy</td>
<td>&lt;Not Applicable&gt;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other - Rubber</td>
<td>&lt;Not Applicable&gt;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other - Cocoa</td>
<td>&lt;Not Applicable&gt;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other - Coffee</td>
<td>&lt;Not Applicable&gt;</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

F6.2a

(F6.2a) Provide details on the level of traceability your organization has for its disclosed commodity(ies).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Forest risk commodity</th>
<th>Point to which commodity is traceable</th>
<th>% of total production/consumption volume traceable</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Timber products</td>
<td>Municipality or equivalent</td>
<td>100</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

F6.2b

(F6.2b) Why do you not have system(s) in place to track and monitor the origin of your disclosed commodity(ies) and what are your plans to develop these in the future?

Forest risk commodity

Palm oil

Primary reason

Important, but not an immediate business priority

Please explain

Eastman’s suppliers’ have strong traceability systems in place upon which it relies for evidence of the origins of its disclosed commodities. Eastman buys palm oil derivatives from producers who do have monitoring systems in place: 98% of the palm oil derivatives that Eastman procures is traceable to the mill level by its suppliers.

F6.3

(F6.3) Have you adopted any third-party certification scheme(s) for your disclosed commodity(ies)?

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Third-party certification scheme adopted?</th>
<th>% of total production and/or consumption volume certified</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Timber products</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Palm oil</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cattle products</td>
<td>&lt;Not Applicable&gt;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Soy</td>
<td>&lt;Not Applicable&gt;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other - Rubber</td>
<td>&lt;Not Applicable&gt;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other - Cocoa</td>
<td>&lt;Not Applicable&gt;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other - Coffee</td>
<td>&lt;Not Applicable&gt;</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
(F4.3a) Provide a detailed breakdown of the volume and percentage of your production and/or consumption by certification scheme.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Forest risk commodity</th>
<th>Third-party certification scheme</th>
<th>Chain-of-custody model used</th>
<th>% of total production/consumption volume certified</th>
<th>Form of commodity</th>
<th>Volume of production/consumption certified</th>
<th>Metric for volume</th>
<th>Is this certified by more than one scheme?</th>
<th>Please explain</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Timber products</td>
<td>FSC (any type)</td>
<td>&lt;Not Applicable&gt;</td>
<td>75</td>
<td>Pulp</td>
<td>75% of total production/consumption</td>
<td>Metric tons</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>Specific consumption volumes are considered confidential information, therefore are not disclosed. 75% of the wood pulp that Eastman procures has Forest Stewardship Council (FSC) certification, and the balance of wood pulp is sourced as Programme for the Endorsement of Forest Certification (PEFC). Eastman maintains this third-party certification by requiring all suppliers to have FSC and/or PEFC certification, and performing annual supplier assessments to ensure suppliers are complying.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Timber products</td>
<td>PEFC (any type)</td>
<td>&lt;Not Applicable&gt;</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>Pulp</td>
<td>100% of total production/consumption</td>
<td>Metric tons</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Specific consumption volumes are considered confidential information, therefore are not disclosed. All suppliers carry Programme for the Endorsement of Forest Certification (PEFC). Eastman maintains this third-party certification by requiring all suppliers to have FSC and/or PEFC certification, and performing annual supplier assessments to ensure suppliers are complying.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Palm oil</td>
<td>RSPO Mass Balance</td>
<td>&lt;Not Applicable&gt;</td>
<td>36</td>
<td>Palm kernel oil derivatives</td>
<td>36% of total production/consumption</td>
<td>Metric tons</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>Customers have indicated acceptable suppliers based on their stringent requirements for sourced palm oil, which include RSPO Mass Balance certification. Eastman agrees with its customers' guidance for quality of supply. The 36% of palm oil derivative volume that is certified as deforestation-free in this response refers to Eastman's consumption of palm oil derivatives. Eastman only has control over sourcing decisions for 7% of the palm oil derivatives that it purchases; therefore, Eastman is not able to fully control maintenance of third-party certifications systems in its supply chain. However, Eastman does perform annual supplier assessments and requires suppliers to complete EcoVadis assessments, and is therefore able to maintain visibility into the percentage of its suppliers that are RSPO certified. Eastman also engages suppliers through education and dialogue to encourage suppliers to become or remain RSPO certified.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
For your disclosed commodity(ies), do you have a system to control, monitor, or verify compliance with no conversion and/or no deforestation commitments?

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Commodity</th>
<th>A system to control, monitor or verify compliance</th>
<th>Comment</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Timber products</td>
<td>Yes, we have a system in place for our no conversion and/or deforestation commitments</td>
<td>&lt;Not Applicable&gt;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Palm oil</td>
<td>Yes, we have a system in place for our no conversion and/or deforestation commitments</td>
<td>&lt;Not Applicable&gt;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cattle products</td>
<td>&lt;Not Applicable&gt;</td>
<td>&lt;Not Applicable&gt;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Soy</td>
<td>&lt;Not Applicable&gt;</td>
<td>&lt;Not Applicable&gt;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other - Rubber</td>
<td>&lt;Not Applicable&gt;</td>
<td>&lt;Not Applicable&gt;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other - Cocoa</td>
<td>&lt;Not Applicable&gt;</td>
<td>&lt;Not Applicable&gt;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other - Coffee</td>
<td>&lt;Not Applicable&gt;</td>
<td>&lt;Not Applicable&gt;</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
(F6.4a) Provide details on the system, the approaches used to monitor compliance, the quantitative progress, and the non-compliance protocols, to implement your no conversion and/or deforestation commitment(s).

**Forest risk commodity**
- Timber products

**Operational coverage**
- Supply chain

**Description of control systems**
Eastman performs annual supplier assessments. Ecovadis assessments, which Eastman requires all suppliers to undergo once every three years, are an input into these supplier assessments. Supplier assessments enable Eastman to confirm that the Forest Stewardship Council (FSC) and/or Programme for the Endorsement of Forest Certification (PEFC) certifications held by its suppliers are in good standing, and therefore that its suppliers are compliant with no deforestation policies. All wood pulp suppliers are expected to be certified by FSC and/or PEFC, and all wood pulp suppliers are subject to annual supplier assessments. Eastman itself is audited once per year and must demonstrate as part of this audit that its suppliers are complying with our control systems, which includes demonstrating supplier certifications.

**Monitoring and verification approach**
- First-party verification
- Third-party verification

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>% of total volume in compliance</th>
<th>100%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>% of total suppliers in compliance</td>
<td>100%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Response to supplier non-compliance**
- Retain & engage
- Suspend & engage
- Exclude

**Procedures to address and resolve non-compliance with suppliers**
Providing information on appropriate actions that can be taken to address non-compliance
Re-integrating suppliers back into supply chain based on the successful and verifiable completion of activities

**Please explain**
We have not yet encountered any issues requiring the suspension or exclusion of any suppliers but maintain this ability in our supply agreements. Our dissolving wood pulp suppliers who are not in compliance are expected to implement corrective actions or face the prospect of not being considered by Eastman for future business. If a supplier was found to be not in compliance, Eastman would engage that supplier to try to help them improve their performance; however, if no improvement was made, Eastman might consider not doing business with that supplier in the future. To assess supplier performance and compliance with no deforestation policies, we hold quarterly meetings to review supplier conformance with requirements, perform annual global sourcing and procurement supplier assessments, and require suppliers to take an Ecovadis assessments every 3 years as part of the Together for Sustainability (TfS) initiative.

**Forest risk commodity**
- Palm oil

**Operational coverage**
- Supply chain

**Description of control systems**
Eastman performs annual supplier assessments to evaluate its palm oil suppliers. Eastman is a member of the Together for Sustainability (TfS) initiative which develops and implements a global supplier engagement program to assess, audit and improve sustainability practices within the supply chain of the chemical industry. Under this initiative Eastman asks suppliers to complete an Ecovadis assessment, which includes Environmental, Labor and Human Rights, Ethics, and Sustainable Procurement sections. Eastman uses Ecovadis to evaluate the compliance of its suppliers with certification systems. As member of the TfS network, Eastman also has access to the 360° Watch Findings which we actively review. We will get a message if any non-compliance has been found in publications regarding deforestation within our supply chains. Also, 36% of the palm oil derivatives we buy are RSPO certified. RSPO actively audits our suppliers that are RSPO certified on deforestation prevention and monitoring.

**Monitoring and verification approach**
- Third-party verification

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>% of total volume in compliance</th>
<th>91-99%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>% of total suppliers in compliance</td>
<td>91-99%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Response to supplier non-compliance**
- Retain & engage
- Suspend & engage
- Exclude

**Procedures to address and resolve non-compliance with suppliers**
Developing time-bound targets and milestones to bring suppliers back into compliance
Re-integrating suppliers back into supply chain based on the successful and verifiable completion of activities

**Please explain**
To assess supplier performance and compliance with no deforestation practices, we perform annual supplier assessments, and require suppliers to take an Ecovadis assessments every 2 years as part of the Together for Sustainability (TfS) initiative. If a supplier was found to be not in compliance, Eastman would engage that supplier to try to help them improve their performance; however, if no improvement was made, Eastman might consider not doing business with that supplier in the future.
(F6.6) For your disclosed commodity(ies), indicate if you assess your own compliance and/or the compliance of your suppliers with forest regulations and/or mandatory standards.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Commodity</th>
<th>Assess legal compliance with forest regulations</th>
<th>Comment</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Timber products</td>
<td>Yes, from suppliers</td>
<td>&lt;Not Applicable&gt;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Palm oil</td>
<td>Yes, from suppliers</td>
<td>&lt;Not Applicable&gt;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cattle products</td>
<td>&lt;Not Applicable&gt;</td>
<td>&lt;Not Applicable&gt;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Soy</td>
<td>&lt;Not Applicable&gt;</td>
<td>&lt;Not Applicable&gt;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other - Rubber</td>
<td>&lt;Not Applicable&gt;</td>
<td>&lt;Not Applicable&gt;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other - Cocoa</td>
<td>&lt;Not Applicable&gt;</td>
<td>&lt;Not Applicable&gt;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other - Coffee</td>
<td>&lt;Not Applicable&gt;</td>
<td>&lt;Not Applicable&gt;</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

F6.6a

(F6.6a) For your disclosed commodity(ies), indicate how you ensure legal compliance with forest regulations and/or mandatory standards.

**Timber products**

**Procedure to ensure legal compliance**

Eastman performs annual supplier assessments. Ecovadis assessments, which Eastman requires all suppliers to undergo once every three years, are an input into these supplier assessments. Supplier assessments enable Eastman to confirm that suppliers are in compliance with forest regulations and mandatory standards. All wood pulp suppliers are subject to annual supplier assessments. Eastman itself is audited once per year and must demonstrate as part of this audit that its suppliers are complying with our control systems, which includes demonstrating compliance with forest regulations and mandatory standards.

**Country/Area of origin**

Brazil

**Law and/or mandatory standard(s)**

USA Lacey Act
CITES

**Comment**

Eastman holds both Forest Stewardship Council (FSC) and/or Programme for the Endorsement of Forest Certification (PEFC) Chain of Custody certification, which include considerations for compliance with applicable timber legality legislation such as CITES and the US Lacey Act.

**Palm oil**

**Procedure to ensure legal compliance**

Eastman performs annual supplier assessments to evaluate its palm oil derivative suppliers. Eastman is a member of the Together for Sustainability (TfS) initiative which develops and implements a global supplier engagement program to assess, audit and improve sustainability practices within the supply chain of the chemical industry. Under this initiative Eastman requests suppliers to complete an Ecovadis sustainability assessment. Eastman uses these assessments to evaluate the compliance of its suppliers with forest regulations and mandatory standards. As member of the TfS network, Eastman also has access to the “360° Watch Findings.” We actively review those findings and will get a message if any non-compliance has been regarding forest regulations and standards within our supply chains.

**Country/Area of origin**

Indonesia
Malaysia

**Law and/or mandatory standard(s)**

General assessment of legal compliance

**Comment**

F6.7

(F6.7) Are you working with smallholders to support good agricultural practices and reduce deforestation and/or conversion of natural ecosystems?

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Commodity</th>
<th>Are you working with smallholders?</th>
<th>Type of smallholder engagement approach</th>
<th>Smallholder engagement approach</th>
<th>Number of smallholders engaged</th>
<th>Please explain</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Timber products</td>
<td>Not applicable</td>
<td>&lt;Not Applicable&gt;</td>
<td>&lt;Not Applicable&gt;</td>
<td>&lt;Not Applicable&gt;</td>
<td>Smallholders are not applicable to Eastman because Eastman is further down in the supply chain. Eastman purchases wood pulp from suppliers who work with smallholders.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Palm oil</td>
<td>Not applicable</td>
<td>&lt;Not Applicable&gt;</td>
<td>&lt;Not Applicable&gt;</td>
<td>&lt;Not Applicable&gt;</td>
<td>Smallholders are not applicable to Eastman because Eastman is further down in the supply chain. Eastman purchases palm oil derivatives from oleochemical producers who purchase from smallholders.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cattle products</td>
<td>&lt;Not Applicable&gt;</td>
<td>&lt;Not Applicable&gt;</td>
<td>&lt;Not Applicable&gt;</td>
<td>&lt;Not Applicable&gt;</td>
<td>&lt;Not Applicable&gt;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Soy</td>
<td>&lt;Not Applicable&gt;</td>
<td>&lt;Not Applicable&gt;</td>
<td>&lt;Not Applicable&gt;</td>
<td>&lt;Not Applicable&gt;</td>
<td>&lt;Not Applicable&gt;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other - Rubber</td>
<td>&lt;Not Applicable&gt;</td>
<td>&lt;Not Applicable&gt;</td>
<td>&lt;Not Applicable&gt;</td>
<td>&lt;Not Applicable&gt;</td>
<td>&lt;Not Applicable&gt;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other - Cocoa</td>
<td>&lt;Not Applicable&gt;</td>
<td>&lt;Not Applicable&gt;</td>
<td>&lt;Not Applicable&gt;</td>
<td>&lt;Not Applicable&gt;</td>
<td>&lt;Not Applicable&gt;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other - Coffee</td>
<td>&lt;Not Applicable&gt;</td>
<td>&lt;Not Applicable&gt;</td>
<td>&lt;Not Applicable&gt;</td>
<td>&lt;Not Applicable&gt;</td>
<td>&lt;Not Applicable&gt;</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

F6.8
(F6.8) Are you working with your direct suppliers to support and improve their capacity to comply with your forests-related policies, commitments, and other requirements?

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Are you working with direct suppliers?</th>
<th>Type of direct supplier engagement approach</th>
<th>Direct supplier engagement approach</th>
<th>% of suppliers engaged</th>
<th>Please explain</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Timber products</td>
<td>Yes, working with direct suppliers</td>
<td>Supply chain mapping Financial and commercial incentives</td>
<td>Supplier questionnaires on environmental and social indicators Supplier audits Financial incentives for certified products</td>
<td>100%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Palm oil</td>
<td>Yes, working with direct suppliers</td>
<td>Supply chain mapping Financial and commercial incentives</td>
<td>Supplier questionnaires on environmental and social indicators Supplier audits Financial incentives for certified products</td>
<td>91-99%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cattle products</td>
<td>&lt;Not Applicable&gt;</td>
<td>&lt;Not Applicable&gt;</td>
<td>&lt;Not Applicable&gt;</td>
<td>&lt;Not Applicable&gt;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Soy</td>
<td>&lt;Not Applicable&gt;</td>
<td>&lt;Not Applicable&gt;</td>
<td>&lt;Not Applicable&gt;</td>
<td>&lt;Not Applicable&gt;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other - Rubber</td>
<td>&lt;Not Applicable&gt;</td>
<td>&lt;Not Applicable&gt;</td>
<td>&lt;Not Applicable&gt;</td>
<td>&lt;Not Applicable&gt;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other - Cocoa</td>
<td>&lt;Not Applicable&gt;</td>
<td>&lt;Not Applicable&gt;</td>
<td>&lt;Not Applicable&gt;</td>
<td>&lt;Not Applicable&gt;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other - Coffee</td>
<td>&lt;Not Applicable&gt;</td>
<td>&lt;Not Applicable&gt;</td>
<td>&lt;Not Applicable&gt;</td>
<td>&lt;Not Applicable&gt;</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

(F6.9) Are you working beyond your first-tier supplier(s) to manage and mitigate deforestation risks?

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Are you working beyond first tier?</th>
<th>Type of engagement approach with indirect suppliers</th>
<th>Indirect supplier engagement approach</th>
<th>Please explain</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Timber products</td>
<td>Not applicable</td>
<td>&lt;Not Applicable&gt;</td>
<td>We consider all dissolving wood pulp suppliers to be first tier and we work with them to manage and mitigate deforestation risks; therefore, there is no need to work beyond our first tier of suppliers.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Palm oil</td>
<td>No, not working beyond the first tier</td>
<td>&lt;Not Applicable&gt;</td>
<td>Our first tier suppliers are much closer in the supply chain to their suppliers and have greater ability to work with them on deforestation risks. Therefore, Eastman focuses on our first tier suppliers to ensure they have the right policies and processes in place.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cattle products</td>
<td>&lt;Not Applicable&gt;</td>
<td>&lt;Not Applicable&gt;</td>
<td>&lt;Not Applicable&gt;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Soy</td>
<td>&lt;Not Applicable&gt;</td>
<td>&lt;Not Applicable&gt;</td>
<td>&lt;Not Applicable&gt;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other - Rubber</td>
<td>&lt;Not Applicable&gt;</td>
<td>&lt;Not Applicable&gt;</td>
<td>&lt;Not Applicable&gt;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other - Cocoa</td>
<td>&lt;Not Applicable&gt;</td>
<td>&lt;Not Applicable&gt;</td>
<td>&lt;Not Applicable&gt;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other - Coffee</td>
<td>&lt;Not Applicable&gt;</td>
<td>&lt;Not Applicable&gt;</td>
<td>&lt;Not Applicable&gt;</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

(F6.10) Do you engage in landscape (including jurisdictional) approaches to progress shared sustainable land use goals?

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Do you engage in landscape/jurisdictional approaches?</th>
<th>Primary reason for not engaging in landscape and/or jurisdictional approaches</th>
<th>Please explain why your organization does not engage in landscape/jurisdictional approaches, and describe plans to engage in the future</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Yes, we engage in landscape/jurisdictional approaches</td>
<td>&lt;Not Applicable&gt;</td>
<td>&lt;Not Applicable&gt;</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
(F6.10a) Indicate the criteria you consider when prioritizing landscapes and jurisdictions for engagement in collaborative approaches to sustainable land use and provide an explanation.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Criteria for prioritizing landscapes/jurisdictions for engagement</th>
<th>Please explain</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Opportunity for increased human well-being in area</td>
<td>One of our Naia™ Sustainability goals is that we will proactively engage in advocacy and programs to protect forest ecosystems and support thriving communities through membership and contribution to MMCF initiatives, supporting the United Nations Free, Prior and Informed Consent (FPIC) policy. Our policy is to promote sustainable forest management practices and reduce ecological and environmental impacts in the supply chain. One of the ways that we do this is by engaging in ecosystem restoration projects. We consider several parameters when evaluating a conservation programs. We consider if the program will have an impact on our value chain, and if there is an opportunity to collaborate with our partners. Another parameter we consider is if the area is marked as ancient or endangered by reputable standards such as the forest mapper from Canopy. In addition, we aim to have a positive impact on biodiversity, water quality and support thriving communities that are local to the areas where we might invest in projects.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Opportunity to protect natural ecosystems</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Opportunity to restore natural ecosystems</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Risk of deforestation/conversion</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

(F6.10b) Provide details of your engagement with landscape/jurisdictional approaches to sustainable land use during the reporting year.

**Country/Area**

United States of America

**Name of jurisdiction or landscape area**

Torreya State Park

**Is the landscape defined by administrative boundaries of sub-national governments and does the approach have active government involvement?**

The landscape is defined by administrative boundaries, but the approach does not have active government involvement

**Brief description of landscape/jurisdictional approach**

Eastman collaborated with the Longleaf Alliance and one of its suppliers to implement a restoration project in the Torreya State Park in Florida. In 2018, Hurricane Michael destroyed 80-90% of the canopy in the State Park, and only around 200 Torreya trees were surviving in 2020. The goal of this project was to restore 320 acres of Torreya State Park Longleaf forest, by planting 400 longleaf pine trees/acre. The Longleaf Alliance was the convener of this project. This project began in 2020 and was completed in 2021.

**Forest risk commodities relevant to this landscape/jurisdictional approach**

Timber products

**Type of engagement**

Funder: Provides full or partial financial support

**Description of engagement**

Eastman was a funder of this initiative.

**Goals supported by engagement**

Landscape restoration

**Company actions supporting approach**

Financially support multi-stakeholder entity leading the initiative

**Implementation partner(s)**

Eastman collaborated with the non-profit organization the Longleaf Alliance, as well as Georgia Pacific (one of its suppliers).

**Engagement start year**

2020

**Engagement end year**

Please specify (2021)

**Total investment over the project period (currency)**

40000

**Details of your investment**

The scope of this project was to restore 320 acres of the Torreya State Park to longleaf forest. Eastman invested $40,000 to support this project.

**Type of assessment framework**

Other, please specify ((NGO we collaborated with partners with local authorities))

**Is progress monitored and publicly reported on?**

No, but we are planning to monitor progress in the next two years

**State the achievements of your engagement so far, and how progress is monitored**

<Not Applicable>

---

(F6.11)
Do you participate in any other external activities and/or initiatives to promote the implementation of your forests-related policies and commitments?

**Forest risk commodity**
Timber products

**Do you participate in activities/initiatives?**
Yes

**Activities**
Engaging with non-governmental organizations

**Country/Area**
Not applicable

**Subnational area**
Not applicable

**Initiatives**
<Not Applicable>

**Please explain**
Eastman has joined Textile Exchange, the global nonprofit member organization committed to reducing the textile industry’s impact on the environment. Eastman is also a member of the Sustainable Apparel Coalition, a global, multi-stakeholder non-profit alliance for the fashion industry. Eastman has also partnered with Canopy, a non-profit organization that works with the forest industry’s biggest customers and their suppliers to develop business solutions that protect the world’s endangered forests, to ensure excellence in our wood pulp sourcing techniques and to demonstrate our ongoing commitment to promoting sustainable forestry practices. As we continue to develop partnerships with brands, we hear time and time again about the increasing need for sustainable materials. Working with Canopy gives our customers the confidence that our sustainable product portfolio is responsibly sourced and produced, ensuring protection of ancient and endangered forests. As producers of biobased products derived from wood pulp, we take our commitment to sustainable forestry management seriously. We are integrating sustainability across Eastman’s supply chain, meeting customer and consumer demand while ensuring sustainable sourcing and production. We are also pursuing collaborations with world-class scientific institutions, non-profit organizations, and more to help make a positive impact on the environment.

**Forest risk commodity**
Palm oil

**Do you participate in activities/initiatives?**
Yes

**Activities**
Involved in multi-partnership or stakeholder initiatives

**Country/Area**
Not applicable

**Subnational area**
Not applicable

**Initiatives**
Roundtable on Sustainable Palm Oil (RSPO)

**Please explain**
Eastman is a Roundtable on Sustainable Palm Oil (RSPO) member. Through its membership, Eastman supports and encourages its suppliers to become RSPO-certified. In this way, Eastman is working to accelerate the proliferation of RSPO-certification in the palm oil supply chain. Eastman also produces RSPO-certified products. Part of Eastman’s environmental strategy is to increase the amount of palm oil derivatives it purchases which are RSPO-certified. Being a member of RSPO helps Eastman implement this strategy. As a member, Eastman is able to better understand its suppliers’ challenges, how to help its suppliers achieve RSPO certification, and actively champion RSPO certification in the palm oil supply chain.

---

**F6.12**

(F6.12) Is your organization supporting or implementing project(s) focused on ecosystem restoration and protection?

Yes

---

**F6.12a**
**Project reference**  
Project 1

**Project type**  
Forest ecosystem restoration

**Primary motivation**  
Voluntary

**Description of project**  
One of our Naia™ Sustainability goals is that we will proactively engage in advocacy and programs to protect forest ecosystems and support thriving communities through membership and contribution to MMCF initiatives, supporting the United Nations Free, Prior and Informed Consent (FPIC) policy. Our policy is to promote sustainable forest management practices and reduce ecological and environmental impacts in the supply chain. One of the ways that we do this is by engaging in voluntary ecosystem restoration projects. Eastman collaborated with the Longleaf Alliance and one of its suppliers to implement a restoration project in the Torreya State Park in Florida. The goal of this project was to restore 320 acres of Torreya State Park Longleaf forest, by planting 400 longleaf pine trees/acre. The Longleaf Alliance was the convener of this project. This project began in 2020 and was completed in 2021.

**Start year**  
2020

**Target year**  
2021

**Project area to date (Hectares)**  
80

**Project area in the target year (Hectares)**  
80

**Country/Area**  
United States of America

**Latitude**  
30.5665

**Longitude**  
84.9478

**Monitoring frequency**  
Every five years

**Measured outcomes to date**  
Biodiversity  
Carbon sequestration  
Climate regulation

**Please explain**  
Eastman collaborated with the Longleaf Alliance and one of its suppliers to implement a restoration project in the Torreya State Park in Florida. In 2018, Hurricane Michael destroyed 80-90% of the canopy in the State Park, and only around 200 Torreya trees were surviving in 2020. The goal of this project was to restore 320 acres of Torreya State Park Longleaf forest, by planting 400 longleaf pine trees/acre. The Longleaf Alliance was the convener of this project. This project began in 2020 and was completed in 2021. Progress is not currently monitored, but we are planning to start monitoring progress in the next two years.

---

**F7. Verification**

**F7.1**  
(F7.1) Do you verify any forests information reported in your CDP disclosure?  
Yes
Which data points within your CDP disclosure have been verified, and which standards were used?

**Disclosure module**
- F2. Procedures

**Data points verified**
- Identifying and assessing forests-related risks for timber products (2.1, 2.1a)

**Verification standard**
- CanopyStyle Audit

**Please explain**

Much of the forests information reported in our CDP disclosure was verified as part of a CanopyStyle audit of Eastman Naia™ cellulosic fiber. Canopy is an environmental non-profit organization dedicated to protecting the world’s forests, species, and climate. The CanopyStyle Audits of global producers of man-made cellulosic fiber are based on a robust set of criteria created by Canopy with approval of the CanopyStyle Leaders for Forest Conservation. These criteria are designed to establish a credible, third party verification process to be undertaken by producers. Relevant considerations include sourcing transparency, responsible forest management, conservation advocacy, and pollution prevention. Eastman has chosen to verify its process for identifying and assessing forests-related risks with a CanopyStyle audit because of Canopy’s credibility and the wide-ranging scope of the topics Canopy assesses during an audit. This verification provides us assurance that we are accurately identifying and assessing risks related to certain forests products. Eastman performs this verification once a year, and it encompasses Eastman’s Naia™ cellulosic fiber product line. This audit was conducted by third-party service provider SCS Global Services in 2021. Eastman’s CanopyStyle audit report is publicly available on Canopy’s website: https://naia.eastman.com/sites/naia/files/documents/Naia-2019-CanopyStyle-Audit-Report.pdf

**Disclosure module**
- F4. Governance

**Data points verified**
- Organizational sustainability policies (4.5, 4.5a, 4.5b) Public commitments (4.6, 4.6a)

**Verification standard**
- CanopyStyle Audit

**Please explain**

Much of the forests information reported in our CDP disclosure was verified as part of a CanopyStyle audit of Eastman Naia™ cellulosic fiber. Canopy is an environmental non-profit organization dedicated to protecting the world’s forests, species, and climate. The CanopyStyle Audits of global producers of man-made cellulosic fiber are based on a robust set of criteria created by Canopy with approval of the CanopyStyle Leaders for Forest Conservation. These criteria are designed to establish a credible, third party verification process to be undertaken by producers. Relevant considerations include sourcing transparency, responsible forest management, conservation advocacy, and pollution prevention. Eastman has chosen to verify its sustainability policies and public commitments with a CanopyStyle audit because of Canopy’s credibility and the wide-ranging scope of the topics Canopy assesses during an audit. This verification provides us assurance that we are accurately representing our sustainability policies and public commitments. Eastman performs this verification annually, and it encompasses Eastman’s Naia™ cellulosic fiber product line. This audit was conducted by third-party service provider SCS Global Services in 2021. Eastman’s CanopyStyle audit report is publicly available on Canopy’s website: https://naia.eastman.com/sites/naia/files/documents/Naia-2019-CanopyStyle-Audit-Report.pdf

**Disclosure module**
- F6. Implementation

**Data points verified**
- Traceability systems (6.2) Control system for timber products (6.4) Legal compliance for timber products (6.6) Working with suppliers of timber products (6.8)

**Verification standard**
- CanopyStyle Audit

**Please explain**

Much of the forests information reported in our CDP disclosure was verified as part of a CanopyStyle audit of Eastman Naia™ cellulosic fiber. Canopy is an environmental non-profit organization dedicated to protecting the world’s forests, species, and climate. The CanopyStyle Audits of global producers of man-made cellulosic fiber are based on a robust set of criteria created by Canopy with approval of the CanopyStyle Leaders for Forest Conservation. These criteria are designed to establish a credible, third party verification process to be undertaken by producers. Relevant considerations include sourcing transparency, responsible forest management, conservation advocacy, and pollution prevention. Eastman has chosen to verify its traceability systems, control systems, legal compliance, and supplier engagement with a CanopyStyle audit because of Canopy’s credibility and the wide-ranging scope of the topics Canopy assesses during an audit. This verification provides us assurance that we are accurately representing and responsibly overseeing these different aspects of forests management. Eastman performs this verification annually, and it encompasses Eastman’s Naia™ cellulosic fiber product line. This audit was conducted by third-party service provider SCS Global Services in 2021. Eastman’s CanopyStyle audit report is publicly available on Canopy’s website: https://naia.eastman.com/sites/naia/files/documents/Naia-2019-CanopyStyle-Audit-Report.pdf

**Disclosure module**
- F6. Implementation

**Data points verified**
- Certification schemes (6.3)

**Verification standard**
- RSPO Supply Chain Certification Standard

**Please explain**

Eastman verifies the RSPO certification of a portion of the Dimethyldodecylamine (DiMLA) that it produces. DiMLA is made using fatty alcohol, which is a palm kernel oil derivative. This audit is performed by Tuv Nord. Eastman has chosen to verify that portions of its DiMLA are RSPO-certified in order to adhere to best practices related to palm oil derivative certifications. This verification is performed annually, and it encompasses the DiMLA that Eastman produces in its Pace, FL facility in the United States and its Ghent facility in East Flanders, Belgium.

F8. Barriers and challenges
### F8.1

**F8.1** Describe the key barriers or challenges to eliminating deforestation and/or conversion of other natural ecosystems from your direct operations or from other parts of your value chain.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Forest risk commodity</th>
<th>Timber products</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Coverage</strong></td>
<td>Supply chain</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Primary barrier/challenge type</strong></td>
<td>Complexity of certification requirements</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Comment</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Forest risk commodity</th>
<th>Palm oil</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Coverage</strong></td>
<td>Supply chain</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Primary barrier/challenge type</strong></td>
<td>Limited value chain engagement</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Comment</strong></td>
<td>For most of the palm oil derivatives Eastman buys, the customer determines whom Eastman buys from (the customer sources and contracts the raw material for their end products and Eastman buys it based on their direction). Therefore, Eastman has limited control over whom it purchases from.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### F8.2

**F8.2** Describe the main measures that would improve your organization's ability to manage its exposure to deforestation and/or conversion of other natural ecosystems.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Forest risk commodity</th>
<th>Timber products</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Coverage</strong></td>
<td>Supply chain</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Main measure</strong></td>
<td>Development of certification and sustainability standards across entire landscapes/jurisdictions</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Comment</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Forest risk commodity</th>
<th>Palm oil</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Coverage</strong></td>
<td>Supply chain</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Main measure</strong></td>
<td>Greater customer awareness</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Comment</strong></td>
<td>Customer awareness will create demand for certified materials. This will support Eastman's no-deforestation efforts, as customers will be driven to request a higher percentage of RSPO-certified material in their contracts with suppliers.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Forest risk commodity</th>
<th>Palm oil</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Coverage</strong></td>
<td>Supply chain</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Main measure</strong></td>
<td>Greater transparency</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Comment</strong></td>
<td>Better quality and more extensive data regarding our suppliers' value chains will help us manage and mitigate deforestation risks.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### F17 Signoff

F-FI
(F-FI) Use this field to provide any additional information or context that you feel is relevant to your organization's response. Please note that this field is optional and is not scored.

F17.1

(F17.1) Provide the following information for the person that has signed off (approved) your CDP forests response.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Job Title</th>
<th>Corresponding job category</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Executive Vice President, Technology and CSO</td>
<td>Chief Sustainability Officer (CSO)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

SF. Supply chain module

SF0.1

(SF0.1) What is your organization's annual revenue for the reporting period?

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Annual revenue</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Row 1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

SF1.1

(SF1.1) In F6.3 you were asked “Have you adopted any third-party certification scheme(s) for your disclosed commodity(ies)? Indicate the volume and percentage of your certified production and/or consumption”. Can you also indicate, for each of your disclosed commodity(ies), the percentage of certified volume sold to each requesting CDP supply chain member?

SF2.1

(SF2.1) Please propose any mutually beneficial forests-related projects you could collaborate on with specific CDP supply chain members.

SF2.2

(SF2.2) Have requests or initiatives by CDP supply chain members prompted your organization to take organizational-level action to reduce or remove deforestation/forest degradation from your operations or your supply chain?

SF3.1

(SF3.1) For your disclosed commodity(ies), do you estimate the GHG emission reductions and/or removals from land use and land use change that have occurred in your direct operations and/or supply chain?

- Timber products
  - Estimate GHG emissions and removals from land use and land use change
  - Please explain
- Palm oil
  - Estimate GHG emissions and removals from land use and land use change
  - Please explain

Submit your response

In which language are you submitting your response?

- English

Please confirm how your response should be handled by CDP

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Understand that my response will be shared with all requesting stakeholders</th>
<th>Response permission</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Please select your submission options</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Please confirm below

I have read and accept the applicable Terms