Eastman™ medical polymers for luer design

At first glance, luer components are small and relatively simple. However, the demands placed on these parts are severe and a high level of engineering goes into successful applications.

The tooling for luers can also be quite complex: male luers typically require an unscrewing core, and female luers require the use of small-diameter core pins, which are difficult to cool properly.

Figure 1 shows seven features that have been designed into a female luer. They provide a robust design that holds up under the demands of operating in an intravenous line.

Some luer device manufacturers intentionally oversize the female luer to move the maximum engagement area away from the outside edge of the part, as shown in Figure 2. When assembled, the resultant hoop stresses are highest in a thicker region where there is more material to resist the force and where weld lines are stronger.

Figure 1. Female locking luer: optimized design

1 Large gate leads into thick section, allowing circumferential flow of hot melt into a strong weld line.
2 Ribbed finger grips permit the use of a large gate while hiding gate vestige.
3 “Choked” section causes a cylindrical flow pattern to form, flowing toward female end of part with no weld line.
4 Tubing stop is designed to minimize thick section and facilitate assembly.
5 Maximum wall thickness of 1 mm (0.040 in.) is allowed by ANSI/ISO standards to improve polymer flow and strength.
6 The thread locks located opposite the gate provide added strength in coldest flow zone. Tapered lead-in reduces mating stresses.
7 Generous lead-in for easy tubing assembly.

Figure 2. Overcoming high tensile stresses in luer fittings

Mismatched luer tapers with male part oversized at the tip of engagement. Probability of trouble is low.

Mismatched luer tapers with male part undersized at the tip of engagement. Probability of splitting the female part is high.
Potential sources of luer failure

There are many reasons a luer may fail. The “top 10” reasons are:

1. Circumferential (hoop) stress is inherent with tapered connectors.
2. Wrong material choice (too brittle) or contaminated material.
3. Material improperly dried before molding or high residence time in barrel.
4. Parts cold-molded, creating excessive orientation with weakness in the hoop direction and at the weld line.
5. Chemical attack by alcohol or fatty hyperalimentation solutions containing glycerol and lipids.
6. Luer taper exerts a wedging action, enhanced by lipid, lubricant, wetness, or overt TORquing.
7. Polypropylene adapters invite overtoring to overcome slippery surfaces.
8. Sterilization processes, both radiation and ETO, affect physical properties. One hundred percent ETO can craze high-stressed parts.
9. Dimensional mismatch between male and female tapers.
10. Pretreatment of components with incompatible solutions, solvents, or freon wash can initiate microcrazing.

Like other components, luers should be designed with uniform wall thickness. Figure 3 shows an example of a part that was designed with a large variation in wall thickness. One problem that occurred was the inability to properly fill and pack out the part without voids forming in the thick region. These voids could not be removed by optimizing the molding process. Since the part was gated into the thin \([0.75\text{-mm (0.030-in.)}]\) section, opening the gate was not effective. The thin section would freeze off before the gate, preventing the rest of the part from packing out properly. The thin wall was, in effect, the "gate." The voids were finally eliminated by coring out the thick section. Had the coring not been successful, another option would have been to move the gate to the thick area or to increase the thickness of the thin section.

Figure 3. The importance of uniform wall thickness (heparin luer lock example)
Table 1 illustrates the applicability of several resins for luer connectors. Note the data for polyesters, which are materials supplied by Eastman.

**Table 1. Material selection criteria for luer connectors**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Material choice</th>
<th>Elongation range, % to break</th>
<th>Sensitive to alcohol and most solvents</th>
<th>Radiation resistance</th>
<th>Fracture mode—brittle (B)*/ductile (D)</th>
<th>Is polymer hygroscopic (requiring drying)?</th>
<th>Primary reason to use/not use</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Styrene</td>
<td>2–5</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Excellent</td>
<td>B</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>Too brittle</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Impact Styrene</td>
<td>5–10</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Excellent</td>
<td>D</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>Difficult tubing bond, clarity poor</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SAN</td>
<td>1–2</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Excellent</td>
<td>B</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>Too brittle</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Acrylic, Impact</td>
<td>1–2</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Fair/good</td>
<td>B</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>Long history of use in luers w/limited elongation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Modified Acrylic</td>
<td>5–25</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Fair/good</td>
<td>B/D (both available)</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>Good clarity</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Clear ABS</td>
<td>25–35</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Good</td>
<td>D</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>Good choice</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rigid PVC</td>
<td>10–25</td>
<td>Resistant</td>
<td>Good</td>
<td>D</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>Good choice, color compromise</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Polycarbonate</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Good</td>
<td>D</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Good choice</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Polysulfone</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Good</td>
<td>D</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Good choice, color compromise</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Polymers/Copolyesters</td>
<td>200</td>
<td>Resistant</td>
<td>Excellent</td>
<td>D</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Good choice, slow cycling</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nylon</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>Resistant</td>
<td>Fair</td>
<td>D</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Difficult tubing bond</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Polypropylene</td>
<td>700</td>
<td>Resistant</td>
<td>Fair/poor</td>
<td>D</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>Low radiation tolerance</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Polymethylpentene</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>Resistant</td>
<td>Poor</td>
<td>D</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>Too soft, heat deformation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cellulosics</td>
<td>50–100</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Good</td>
<td>D</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>Good choice, but radiation induces color</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rigid Urethane</td>
<td>50–75</td>
<td>Resistant</td>
<td>Excellent</td>
<td>D</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Good choice</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Polycarbonate-Polyester Blends</td>
<td>100–150</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Good</td>
<td>D</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Good choice, cycles well, balanced properties</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*(Brittle = <25% elongation to break)*

**Notes**

1. Clarity is an issue in luers, sufficient to observe the flow path. All materials listed allow visualization of bubbles.
2. Freon washing, 100% ETO, oils, plasticizers, and solvents are potential stress-crack agents, especially when molding stress is present.
3. Each material has an elongation at which craze and cracking initiates. The difference between crazing and cracking is only a matter of size and time. Crazing usually occurs slowly and does not result in failure; the opposite occurs in cracking.
4. Cost of material is not tabulated (minor compared to the price of failure).
5. There is no substitute for testing parts as molded and processed for retention of physical properties.
6. Polymers are subject to development and improvement that can affect future selection criteria.
7. Female luers are inherently weakest in the hoop direction. Make sure that testing includes hoop stress and deformation in the hoop direction. The use of any polymer with less than 25% elongation is inviting failure in female luers by sacrificing functional safety margin.

Some of the material in this publication has been modified from J. A. Stubstad, Antec 1992 Proceedings.
Material Safety Data Sheets providing safety precautions, that should be observed when handling and storing Eastman products, are available online or by request. You should obtain and review the available material safety information before handling any of these products. If any materials mentioned are not Eastman products, appropriate industrial hygiene and other safety precautions recommended by their manufacturers should be observed.

It is the responsibility of the medical device manufacturer ("Manufacturer") to determine the suitability of all component parts and raw materials, including any Eastman product, used in its final product in order to ensure safety and compliance with requirements of the United States Food and Drug Administration (FDA) or other international regulatory agencies.

Eastman products have not been designed for nor are they promoted for end uses that would be categorized either by the United States FDA or by the International Standards Organization (ISO) as implant devices. Eastman products are not intended for use in the following applications: (1) in any bodily-implant applications for greater than 30 days, based on FDA-Modified ISO-10993, Part 1, "Biological Evaluation of Medical Devices" tests (including any cosmetic, reconstructive, or reproductive implant applications); (2) in any cardiac prosthetic device application, regardless of the length of time involved, including, without limitation, pacemaker leads and devices, artificial hearts, heart valves, intra-aortic balloons and control systems, and ventricular bypass assisted devices; or (3) as any critical component in any medical device that supports or sustains human life.

For manufacturers of medical devices, biological evaluation of medical devices is performed to determine the potential toxicity resulting from contact of the component materials of the device with the body. The ranges of tests under FDA-Modified ISO-10993, Part 1, "Biological Evaluation of Medical Devices" include cytotoxicity, sensitization, irritation or intracutaneous reactivity, systemic toxicity (acute), subchronic toxicity (sub-acute), implantation, and hemocompatibility. For Eastman products offered for the medical market, limited testing information is available upon request. The Manufacturer of the medical device is responsible for the biological evaluation of the finished medical device.

The suitability of an Eastman product in a given end-use environment is dependent upon various conditions including, without limitation, chemical compatibility, temperature, part design, sterilization method, residual stresses, and external loads. It is the responsibility of the Manufacturer to evaluate its final product under actual end-use requirements and to adequately advise and warn purchasers and users thereof.
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